Acta Veterinaria (Beograd), Vol. 59, No. 5-6, 489-501, 2009.

DOI: 10.2298/AVB0906489T

UDK 619:616.988.75:636.4

#### IMMUNOGLOBULINS IN PIGS VACCINATED WITH A SUBUNIT E2 AND AN ATTENUATED C STRAIN VACCINE AGAINST CLASSICAL SWINE FEVER

TERZIĆ SVJETLANA\*, BILANDŽIĆ NINA\*, JEMERŠIĆ LORENA\*\*, LOGOMERAC VESNA\*, CVETNIĆ Ž\*, ŠANDOR KSENIJA\*, ORŠOLIĆ NADA\*\*\* and VALPOTIĆ I\*\*\*\*

\*Croatian Veterinary Institute, Zagreb, Croatia ; \*\*Food and Agriculture Organisation of the United Nations, Rome, Italy; \*\*\*Faculty of Science, University of Zagreb, Zagreb, Croatia \*\*\*\*Veterinary Faculty, University of Zagreb, Zagreb, Croatia

## (Received 4<sup>th</sup> April 2009)

The aim of this study was to detect changes in the concentration of serum immunoglobulins following vaccination against classical swine fever (CSF) with an attenuated C strain and a subunit E2 vaccine. Furthermore, the adjuvanticity of an attenuated parapoxvirus ORF virus for the subunit vaccine against CSF was evaluated. Peripheral blood samples were collected before the vaccination and at post-vaccination days 4, 10, 21 and 28. The samples were assessed by a colorimetric method for the detection of total proteins, as well as albumin, IgA and IgM levels and by radial immunodiffusion to record the IgG level. Our findings are in accordance with the normal concentrations of porcine IgG, IgA and IgM. However, a significant increase of some immunoglobulin classes was recorded. The increase of the IgM level in vaccinated pigs confirmed an early development of humoral immunity. Interestingly, the subunit E2 vaccine induced the increase of IgM earlier then did the attenuated C strain. Since the IgG concentratiom was not significantly increased we assumed that the period of 28 days following vaccination was too short to detect any changes in the IgG level, thus reflecting a late humoral immune response. Although, IgA antibodies are mostly responsible for humoral immunity at the mucosal surfaces, in our experiment the attenuated C strain induced a significantly higher production of this immunoglobulin class in the serum very early (on day 4) following vaccination. This could be ascribed to the affinity of IgA antibodies to neutralize or agglutinate virus particles. Early appearance (4 and 10 days after the vaccination) of a significantly higher concentration of IgG and IgM could be induced by the ORF virus strain D1701 applied as an adjuvant.

Key words: immunoglobulins, pigs, classical swine fever, vaccination

#### INTRODUCTION

Classical swine fever (CSF) is a permanent threat for the pig industry. Consequences of the infection cause enormous economical losses. Virulent CSF strains induce severe damage in the humoral, as well as cellular immune response mechanisms in infected pigs (Šuša et al., 1992; Pauly et al., 1998; Gisler et al., 1999; Porntrakulpipat et al., 2001; Polaček et al., 2007; Jamin et al., 2008). Even though, routine vaccination against CSF is banded in the European Union and some other countries, many studies involving different CSF vaccines and different routes of administration were conducted (Bouma et al., 1999; Moormann et al., 2000; Dewulf et al., 2004; Ganges et al., 2005; Liu et al., 2006; Dortmans et al., 2008; Kaden et al., 2008; von Rüden et al., 2008; Holinka et al., 2009). The attenuated and subunit vaccines against CSF induce the development of specific antibodies and changes in the peripheral blood leucocyte subpopulation (Pirou et al., 2003; Terzić et al., 2003a, 2004). Humoral immune response studies and investigations of cellular immunity after vaccination showed that both attenuated and subunit E2 vaccines were able to protect pigs against infection with CSF virus (Markowska-Daniel et al., 1999; Piriou et al., 2003; Terzić et al., 2003a, 2003b; van Oirschot 2003; Dong and Chen, 2007; Voight et al., 2007; Sánchez et al., 2008). The E2 subunit vaccine can protect pigs 7 days after vaccination (de Smit, 2000) and may be an efficient tool during an outbreak of CSF from 10 days after vaccination (Bouma et al., 2000). Specific antibodies after vaccination against CSF with the attenuated C strain that is amplified in minipig kidney (MPK) cell culture protected pigs from challenge 14 days after vaccination (Terzić et al., 1997; Polančec et al., 1999; Ballarin-Perharić et al., 2000).

The relative concentration of IgM, IgD, IgG, IgE and IgA in swine varies in different body fluids (Butler *et al.*, 2006a). Serum IgG levels are 10-fold higher than both IgM and IgA levels in adults. Porcine IgD and IgA can be found in traces and there are still no available, reliable reagents for their determination (Butler *et al.*, 2006a). Large numbers of IgG subclasses are specific for swine. The function, structure and role of B lymphocytes in the immune response was investigated (Butler *et al.*, 2006a, 2006b; Sinkora and Butler 2009; Butler *et al.*, 2009a, 2009b). However, no increase of wCD21<sup>+</sup> cells in pigs vaccinated with the attenuated C strain or the subunit E2 vaccine was recorded in our previous work (Terzić *et al.*, 2004). Piriou *et al.*, (2003) reported that pigs vaccinated with the attenuated vaccine against CSF and later on challenged, showed a lower neutralising antibody titre and IgG concentration than pigs that were only challenged.

Many different external factors (stress, viruses), as well as substances, may cause changes in some serum components e.g. immunoglobulins (Tuchscherer *et al.*, 1998; De Groot *et al.*, 2001; Bilandžić *et al.*, 2005; Božić *et al.*, 2006; Janjatović *et al.*, 2008) and influence the humoral and cellular immunity. The attenuated parapox virus strain D1701 (parapoxvirus ORF virus, genus *Parapoxvirus*, subfamily *Chordopoxvirinae*, family *Poxviridae*) was investigated as an immunomodualtor in pigs and other domestic animals (Kyriakis *et al.*, 1998, 2002; Fachinger *et al.*, 2000a, 2000b). However, the understanding of the mechanism of its activity is only fragmentary. Parapox virus D1701 was nominated

as a candidate for the induction of non-specific immunity in pigs. (Büttner, 1993; Rziha *et al.*, 1999; Deane *et al.*, 2000; Haig 2001; Haig and Mccines 2002; Haig *et al.*, 2002; Fisher *et al.*, 2003; Weber *et al.*, 2003; Freibe *et al.*, 2004). Our previous study (Terzić *et al.*, 2004) showed that its immunostimulating effect was evident on T lymphocytes but not on B lymphocytes (wCD21<sup>+</sup> cells). Results of our *in vitro* proliferation study (Terzić *et al.*, 2007) showed that the attenuated ORF virus, in the applied concentration induced a slight proliferation only in lymphocytes derived from pigs that received the subunit vaccine.

However, changes in immunoglobulin levels after the simultaneous vaccination against CSF and application of the attenuated ORF virus have not been investigated and there are only a few studies that describe the effect of vaccination on serum proteins. This study is a sequence of a large investigation of the cellular and humoral immunity after vaccination against CSF. The results of the study gave additional information on the levels of serum proteins in vaccinated pigs.

The aim of this study was to detect the changes in the concentration of serum immunoglobulins following vaccination against classical swine fever with a subunit or an attenuated vaccine. Also, the adjuvanticity of the attenuated ORF virus for the subunit vaccine against CSF was evaluated.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Pigs

Twelve weeks of age, cross-bred, healthy pigs were randomised into four separately kept groups. Ten pigs from group 1 were vaccinated with the subunit vaccine, the second group of pigs (10) was vaccinated with the subunit vaccine and the attenuated ORF virus strain D 1701 and the third group of ten pigs was vaccinated with the C strain amplified in a minipig kidney cell culture (MPK). All pigs were vaccinated i.m. Ten pigs remained unvaccinated as controls (group 4).

### Vaccines and vaccination

The CSF subunit E2 vaccine (32 µg of gp E2 in water/oil/water emulsion, Bayer AG, Leverkusen, Germany) was administered as a single i.m. injection (2 mL). The attenuated freeze-dried vaccine against CSF (C strain virus amplified in minipig kidney cell culture  $10^{4\pm0.15}$  TCID<sub>50</sub>/mL, produced by Veterina d.o.o., Rakov Potok, Croatia) was applied i.m. The lyophilized ORF virus strain D1701 of a minimum  $10^{6.45}$  TCID<sub>50</sub>/dose (Bayer AG, Leverkusen, Germany) was applied as an i.m. injection. The first dose was given two days before vaccination, and the second dose on the day of vaccination.

### Blood sampling

Peripheral blood samples were collected by venepuncture before the vaccination (day 0) and days 4, 10, 21 and 28 after vaccination.

# Total serum proteins, albumins and immunoglobulins

Total serum proteins (g/L) were determinated by a colorimetric method (spectrophotometer HACH DR/4000U,  $\lambda$  of 546 nm) with commercially available kits (Herbos Diagnostika d.o.o., Sisak, Croatia and Thermo Trace Ltd., Australia).

Albumins of vaccinated and control pigs (g/L) were assessed by a colorimetric method (spectrophotometer HACH DR/4000U,  $\lambda$  of 630 nm) with commercially available kits (Herbos Diagnostika d.o.o., Sisak, Croatia and Thermo Trace Ltd., Australia).

Radial immunodiffusion (RID) was used for the determination of pig IgG (Mancini *et al.*, 1965). The concentrations of immunoglobulins A and M were determined using commercially available Pig IgA and IgM VET-RID kits (Bethyl Laboratories, Inc., Montgomery, Texas, USA). Test plates for the determination of IgG were prepared by dilution of 2 g of agarose in 100 mL of barbiturate buffered saline (0.1 M) with the addition of anti-pig IgG antiserum (1:10) and 0.1% sodium azide. Five microliters of reference standard solutions of IgG and diluted serum samples (1:20) were pipetted to a separately identified well of the test plates. After incubation (48 to 72 hours at room temperature), the plates were removed and placed over a source of illumination in order to clearly see precipitation rings. The external diameters of the rings were measured to the nearest 0.1 mm by using an ocular scale. A reference curve was plotted using the diameters measured from standard solutions. From the reference curve, the IgG concentration of each diluted test sample was calculated by multiplying the concentration read from the curve by the dilution factor to obtain the actual concentration.

Student's *t*-test was used to determine the statistical significance of total proteins, albumins and serum immunoglobuline concentration.

### RESULTS

The total protein concentration was statistically significantly higher in pigs vaccinated with the attenuated C strain at the beginning of the trial than at the end. Control pigs had statistically significantly higher protein concentrations on day 4 after vaccination than pigs vaccinated with the C strain, as well as pigs that have received the subunit vaccine and ORF virus strain D1701.

A significantly higher level of albumins was recorded in the vaccinated groups at the beginning of the trial in comparison with days 10, 21 and 28. Differences between the groups were detected only in pigs vaccinated with the C strain in comparison with pigs that have received the subunit vaccine and ORF virus strain D1701.

IgG concentration increased in pigs vaccinated with the subunit vaccine and ORF virus strain D1701 4 days after vaccination. On day 28 after vaccination differences between vaccinated groups were recorded and pigs that received the attenuated vaccine had significantly higher concentrations of IgG in comparison to other vaccinated pigs. Table 1. Total serum proteins (g/L) in pigs vaccinated with subunit vaccine (group 1), subunit vaccine and attenuated ORF virus strain D1701 (group 2), attenuated vaccine (group 3) and of non-vaccinated control pigs (group 4)

		Total proteins (g/L)			
		Group 1	Group 2	Group 3	Group 4
	М	31.3*	24.7	34.3**	34.4
Day 0	SEM	2.2	1.9	2.5	3.5
Day 4	М	32.3	29.6	29.5	37.7#
	SEM	2.0	2.8	3.1	1.8
Day 10	М	25.4	24.6	26.1	20.9
	SEM	3.0	3.9	4.0	2.9
Day 21	М	25.3	30.9	30.5	33.7
	SEM	3.2	3.6	3.5	3.3
Day 28	М	17.1	17.2	32.7**	20.0
	SEM	4.6	4.0	2.7	4.0

\* = statistically significant higher values (p<0.05) between days in the same group;

\*\* = statistically significant higher values (p<0.05) between vaccinated groups;

# = statistically significant higher values (p<0.05) between vaccinated and control groups.

M = mean; SEM = standard error of the mean

Table 2. Serum albumins (g/L) in pigs vaccinated with subunit vaccine (group 1), subunit vaccine and attenuated ORF virus strain D1701 (group 2), attenuated vaccine (group 3) and of non-vaccinated control pigs (group 4)

		Albumins (g/L)			
		Group 1	Group 2	Group 3	Group 4
David	М	25.3*	22.9*	26.1* **	25.5
Day 0	MSE	1.3	1.3	1.0	1.5
Day 4	М	25.0	22.1	29.3	26.7
Day 4	SEM	1.4	1.5	4.1	1.3
Day 10	М	21.6	19.7	18.3	19.3
Day 10	SEM	1.7	1.0	1.5	1.6
Day 21	М	19.7	20.8	23.2	26.0
	SEM	1.7	2.5	1.8	1.8
Day 28	М	16.8	13.8	22.1	18.4
	SEM	2.2	2.2	2.6	1.9

\* = statistically significant higher values (p<0.05) between days in the same group;

\*\* = statistically significant higher values (p<0.05) between vaccinated groups;

M = mean; SEM = standard error of the mean

Table 3. IgG (g/L) in pigs vaccinated with subunit vaccine (group 1), subunit vaccine and attenuated ORF virus strain D1701 (group 2), attenuated vaccine (group 3) and of non-vaccinated control pigs (group 4)

		lg (g/L)			
		Group 1	Group 2	Group 3	Group 4
Day 0	М	23.4	20.9	22.8	24.8
	SEM	0.7	1.2	1.2	0.7
Day 4	М	24.1	23.8*	23.4	26.4
	SEM	0.9	1.8	1.5	0.7
Day 10	М	22.9	23.7*	22.4	26.6
	SEM	1.1	1.3	1.6	0.2
Day 21	М	23.8	24.4	25.8	26.1
	SEM	1.1	1.8	1.1	0.4
Day 28	М	21.6	20.9	27.4**	23.3
	SEM	1.2	1.9	1.0	1.4

\* = statistically significant higher values (p<0.05) between days in the same group;

\*\* = statistically significant higher values (p<0.05) between vaccinated groups;

M = mean; SEM = standard error of the mean

Table 4. IgM (g/L) in pigs vaccinated with subunit vaccine (group 1), subunit vaccine and attenuated ORF virus strain D1701 (group 2), attenuated vaccine (group 3) and of non-vaccinated control pigs (group 4)

		IgM (g/L)			
		Group 1	Group 2	Group 3	Group 4
Day 0	М	1.4**	1.5	2.9**	2.3
Day 0	SEM	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.2
Day 4	М	5.6* <sup>#</sup> **	3.7#	3.5	1.6
	SEM	0.6	0.8	0.4	0.2
Day 10	М	3.9* <sup>#</sup>	4.5 <sup>#</sup>	1.1	2.4
	SEM	0.3	0.7	0.2	0.3
Day 21	М	3.8* <sup>#</sup> **	4.5 <sup>#</sup>	4.9 <sup>#</sup>	1.8
	SEM	0.6	0.4	0.5	0.2
Day 28	М	5.2* <sup>#</sup> **	1.3	4.0** <sup>#</sup>	1.6
	SEM	0.4	0.2	0.4	0.2

\* = statistically significant higher values (p<0.05) between days in the same group;

\*\* = statistically significant higher values (p < 0.05) between vaccinated groups;

# = statistically significant higher values (p<0.05) between vaccinated and control groups.

M = mean; SEM = standard error of the mean

The majority of differences were recorded in IgM concentrations. IgM concentrations were increased on day 4 in pigs that received the subunit vaccine and subunit vaccine and ORF virus strain D1701. The concentrations remained significantly higher until the end of the trial in comparison with the two other vaccinated groups. A significantly higher level of IgM was recorded in pigs vaccinated with the attenuated vaccine on day 28 in comparison with pigs that received the subunit vaccine and ORF virus strain D1701. Results between the vaccinated groups showed that IgM concentrations were significantly higher in pigs vaccinated with the subunit vaccine in comparison with pigs that received the c strain and control pigs. In all of vaccinated groups statistically higher concentrations of IgM were recorded in comparison to the control group.

Table 5 shows a statistically significant decrease of IgA level on day 4 and 28 in pigs that received the attenuated vaccine in comparison with the results obtained at the beginning of the trial and in comparison with pigs that received the subunit vaccine and the subunit vaccine and ORF virus.

	rain D1701 (group 2), the attenuated vaccine (group 3) ol pigs (group 4)
	IgA (g/L)

Table 5. IgA (g/L) in pigs vaccinated with subunit vaccine (group 1), subunit vaccine

		IgA (g/L)			
		Group 1	Group 2	Group 3	Group 4
	М	2.0	1.9	2.0	2.9
Day 0	SEM	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.2
Dev 4	М	1.5	1.6	2.8*, **	2.2#
Day 4	SEM	1.4	0.3	0.4	0.2
Dev 10	М	2.1	1.5	2.4	2.7
Day 10	SEM	0.5	0.3	0.3	0.2
Day 21	М	2.6	2.6	1.9	2.9
	SEM	0.3	0.4	0.2	0.2
Day 28	М	1.2	1.4	2.4*, **	2.3#
	SEM	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.2

 $\star$  = statistically significant higher values (p<0.05) between days in the same group;

\*\* = statistically significant higher values (p<0.05) between vaccinated groups;

# = statistically significant higher values (p<0.05) between vaccinated and control groups.

M = mean; SEM = standard error of the mean

# DISCUSSION

The specific antibody development after vaccination against CSF using differently prepared vaccines and different routes of vaccination were described in many studies. However, only a few authors reported changes in serum

immunoglobulins after vaccination (Piriou *et al.*, 2003). Apart from detecting the IgG, IgA and IgM concentrations, total serum proteins and albumins were measured in our experiment, also. The obtained results did not show unexpected values of serum proteins and albumins. However, changes in globulin fractions were found. Higher values of serum albumins and total serum proteins at the beginning of the experiment could be explained by individual differences. Namely, as we consider that all pigs in our trial belong to the same breed, originated from the same herd, and were of the same age, the above mentioned explanation seems to be logical. Since there are no available reagents for the determination of most IgG subclasses (Van der Stede *et al.*, 2004; Butler *et al.*, 2006a) the investigation of the influence of the subunit vaccine and ORF virus strain D1701 was based only on the concentration of the main immunoglobulin classes.

The mechanism of action of the attenuated parapox virus strain D1701 as an immunomodulator is not completely clear. Considering its immunomodulatory ability parapox virus strain D1701 is also used as a vector in vaccine production. New parapox vector vaccine has proven a successful protection in different models, as well as in CSF vaccines. The vector virus (recombinant ORF virus, ORFV VrV-E2) vaccine can induce early onset protection against virulent CSF virus and single shot of vector vaccine provided similar efficacy as the live C strain vaccine (Voight et al., 2007). There are relatively few studies describing the synergistic action of the vaccine and attenuated ORF virus as an immunomodulator in pigs. The immunostimulatory effect of inactivated ORF virus strain D1701 (Baypamun<sup>®</sup>, Bayer AG, Leverkusen, Germany) in piglets simultaneously administered with an Aujeszky's disease vaccine has been described (Valpotić et al., 1998). Despite of the rather unknown mechanism of action of ORF virus as an immunomodulator, its effect was evident on T lymphocytes but not on B lymphocytes (wCD21<sup>+</sup> cells) (Terzić et al., 2004). Early appearance (4 and 10 days after vaccination) of significantly higher concentrations of IgG and IgM could be a result of the application of the ORF virus strain D1701 as an adjuvant. However, the concentration of IgG was in the normal range for swine serum (17-29 g/L) (Tizard, 2000; Butler 2006a) and represents 65 to 80% of the total serum immunoglobulins. The main changes in IgG levels were expected considering our previous results. Even though the specific antibodies increase 10 days after vaccination with the subunit vaccine and subunit vaccine and ORF virus (Terzić et al., 2004), as well as the attenuated vaccine (Terzić et al., 2003a), the IgG concentration was significantly increased only in pigs that received the subunit vaccine and ORF virus. In some occasions the absence of detectable specific CSF antibodies was referred suggesting that cellular immunity may be involved in protection against infection (Suradhat et al., 2001). Piriou et al. (2003) reported that the intranasal and intramuscular challenge with the CSF virus Alfort strain, 10<sup>4.5</sup> TCID<sub>50</sub> induced high T cell responses while the cell mediated response was low in vaccinated/challenged pigs. Also, the challenged pigs developed higher concentrations of IgG1 and IgG2 while vaccinated/challenged pigs 21 days after infection showed IgG2 response only. These results are in accordance with results reported by Terzić et al., 2003a which showed that the subunit vaccine produced a better stimulation of B cells and CD11b+ monocytes/macrophages/granulocytes/NK cells, whereas the attenuated vaccine induced a higher response of Th cells, naive/memory cells and macrophages/neutrophils. We assume that the period of twenty-eight days following the vaccination was too short to detect any changes in IgG level, reflecting a late humoral immune response. However, the concentration of IgM increased in accordance to our expectation between 4 and 21 days post vaccination. IgM is the second highest concentration immunoglobulin in the serum. Its concentration in swine is 1-5 g/L and it is produced during a primary immune response. The statistically significant increase of IgM in vaccinated pigs confirmed an early development of humoral immunity. Interestingly, the subunit E2 vaccine alone and in the combination with the attenuated ORF virus induced an increase of IgM earlier then did the attenuated C strain. Thus, it is likely that both types of vaccines are capable to provoke significant changes in IgM concentration.

Our findings are in accordance with the normal concentrations of porcine IgA (0.5 -5 g/L) (Butler, 2006a). Although, IgA antibodies are mostly responsible for humoral immunity at the mucosal surfaces, in our experiment the attenuated C strain induced a significantly higher production of this immunoglobulin class very early following vaccination. This could be ascribed to the affinity of IgA antibodies for virus neutralisation or agglutination of virus particles. Two other vaccinated groups (pigs that received the subunit vaccine and subunit vaccine and ORF virus) had normal concentrations of IgA during the whole period of observation and it is obvious that the subunit E2 vaccine, as well as ORF virus did not influence the changes of IgA in the peripheral blood during the twenty-eight days after vaccination.

The mechanism of protection after vaccination against CSF is well known. Many trials included challenge as the most important proof of efficacy of the vaccine. Even though we did not find completely comparable situations with immunoglobulins we detected the expected development of serum immunoglobulins in the vaccinated pigs. The results obtained by enzyme immunoassay show specific antibody increase (Terzić *et al.*, 2003a, 2003b) even though the absence of dramatical changes in immunoglobulin concentration was recorded.

Our results from previous experiments (specific antibodies, cellular immunity) and these results of blood serum immunoglobulins can prove a better understanding of changes in pigs after vaccination against CSF and application ORF virus strain D1701 as imunomodulator. However, further studies are necessary to solve all aspects of development of immunity.

Address for correspondence: Svjetlana Terzić, Ph.D., DVM Croatian Veterinary Institute Savska cesta 143 P.O. Box 883 10000 Zagreb Croatia E-mail: terzic@veinst.hr

#### REFERENCES

- Ballarin-Perharić A, Cizelj A, Janić D, Markuš-Cizelj Lj, Polančec D, Budak V et al., 2000, Protective dose of the new cell vaccine against classical swine fever PLIVAK KS under laboratory control, Proceedings of the 2<sup>nd</sup> Croatian Veterinary Congress, Cavtat, Croatia 10-13 October 2000, 379-82 (in Croatian).
- Bilandžić N, Šimić B, Žurić M, Lojkić M, 2005, Effect of ACTH administration on biochemical and immune measures in boars, J Vet Med A Physiol Pathol Clin Med, 52, 440-6.
- Bouma A, de Smit AJ, de Kluijver EP, Terpstra C, Moormann RJ, 1999, Efficacy and stability of a subunit vaccine based on glycoprotein E2 of classical swine fever virus, Vet Microbiol, 66, 101-14.
- Božić F, Lacković G, Kovšca-Janjatović A, Smolec O, Valpotić I, 2006, Levamisole synergizes experimental F4ac+ Escherichia coli oral vaccine in stimulating ileal Peyer's patch T cells in weaned pigs, J Vet Pharmacol Ther, 29, 199-204.
- 5. Büttner M, 1993, Principles of paramunization. Option and limits in veterinary medicine, Comp Immunol Microbiol Infect Dis, 1, 1-10.
- 6. Butler JE, Sun J, Wertz N, Sinkora M, 2006a, Antibody repertoire development in swine, Dev Comp Immunol, 30, 199-221.
- Butler JE, Sinkora M, Wertz N, Holtmeier W, Lemke CD, 2006b, Development of the neonatal B and T cell repertoire in swine: implications for comparative and veterinary immunology, Vet Res, 37, 417-41.
- 8. Butler JE, Wertz N, Deschacht N, Kacskovics I, 2009a, Porcine IgG: structure, genetics, and evolution, *Immunogenetics*, 61, 209-30.
- 9. Butler JE, Lager KM, Splichal I, Francis D, Kacskovics I, Sinkora M et al., 2009b, The piglet as a model for B cell and immune system development, Vet Immunol Immunopathol, 128, 147-70.
- Deane D, McInnes C, Percival A, Wood A, Thomson A, Lear A et al., 2000, Orf virus encodes a novel secreted protein inhibitor of granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor and interleukin-2, J Virol, 74, 1313-20.
- 11. De Groot J, Ruis MAW, Scholten JW, Koolhaas JM, Boersma WJA, 2001, Long-term effects of social stress on antiviral immunity in pigs, *Physiol Behav*, 73, 145-58.
- 12. *de Smit AJ*, 2000, Laboratory diagnosis, epizootiology, and efficacy of marker vaccines in classical swine fever: a review, *Vet Q*, 22, 182-8.
- Dewulf J, Laevens H, Koenen F, Mintiens K, de Kruif A, 2004, Efficacy of E2-subunit marker and Cstrain vaccines in reducing horizontal transmission of classical swine fever virus in weaner pigs, Prev Vet Med, 65, 121-33.
- 14. Dong XN, Chen YH, 2007, Marker vaccine strategies and candidate CSFV marker vaccines, Vaccine, 25, 205-30.
- Dortmans JC, Loeffen WL, Weerdmeester K, van der Poel WH, de Bruin MG, 2008, Efficacy of intradermally administrated E2 subunit vaccines in reducing horizontal transmission of classical swine fever virus, Vaccine 26, 1235-42.
- 16. Fachinger V, Schlapp T, Saalmüller A, 2000a, Evidence of a Parapox ovis virus-associated superantigen, Eur J Immunol, 30, 2962-71.
- Fachinger V, Schlapp T, Strube W, Schmeer N, Saalmüller A, 2000b: Poxvirus-induced immunostimulating effects on porcine leukocytes, J Virol, 17, 7943-51.
- Ficher T, Planz O, Stitz L, Rziha HJ, 2003, Novel recombinant parapoxvirus vectors induce protective humoral and cellular immunity against lethal herpesvirus challenge infection in mice, *J Virol*, 77, 9312-23.
- Freibe A, Siegling S, Friedrichs A, Volk HD, Weber O, 2004, Immunomodulatory effects of inactivated Parapoxvirus ovis (Orf virus) on human peripheral immune cells: induction of cytokine secretion in monocytes and Th1-like cells, J Virol, 78, 9400-11.
- 20. Ganges L, Barrera M, Núñez JI, Blanco I, Frias MT, Rodríguez F, Sobrino F, 2005, A DNA vaccine expressing the E2 protein of classical swine fever virus elicits T cell responses that can prime for

rapid antibody production and confer total protection upon viral challenge, *Vaccine*, 23, 3741-52.

- Gisler AC, Nardi NB, Nonnig RB, Oliveira LG, Roehe PM, 1999, Classical swine fever virus in plasma and peripheral blood mononuclear cells of acutely infected swine Zentralbl Veterinarmed B, 46, 585-93.
- 22. Haig DM, 2001, Subversion and piracy: DNA viruses and immune evasion, Res Vet Sci, 70, 205-19.
- 23. *Haig DM, Mcinnes J*, 2002, Immunity and counter-immunity during infection with the parapoxvirus orf virus, *Virus Res*, 88, 3-16.
- 24. Haig DM, Thomson J, Mcinnes C, Mccaughan C, Imlach W, Mercer A et al., 2002, Orf virus immunomodulation and the host immune response, Vet Immunol Immunopathol, 87, 395-9.
- 25. Holinka LG, Fernandez-Sainz I, O'Donnell V, Prarat MV, Gladue DP, Lu Z et al., 2009, Development of a live attenuated antigenic marker classical swine fever vaccine, Virology, 384, 106-13.
- Jamin A, Gorin S, Cariolet R, Le Potier MF, Kuntz-Simon G, 2008, Classical swine fever virus induces activation of plasmacytoid and conventional dendritic cells in tonsil, blood, and spleen of infected pigs, Vet Res, 39, 7.
- 27. Janjatović AK, Lacković G, Bozić F, Popović M, Valpotić I, 2008 Levamisole synergizes proliferation of intestinal IgA+ cells in weaned pigs immunized with vaccine candidate F4ac+ nonenterotoxigenic Escherichia coli strain, J Vet Pharmacol Ther, 31, 328-33.
- Kaden V, Lange E, Steyer H, Lange B, Klopfleisch R, Teifke JP et al., 2008, Classical swine fever virus strain "C" protects the offspring by oral immunisation of pregnant sows, Vet Microbiol, 130, 20-7.
- Kyriakis SC, Tzika ED, Lyras DN, Tsinas AC, Saoulidis K, Sarris K, 1998, Effect of an inactivated Parapoxvirus based immunomodulator (Baypamun) on post weaning diarrhoea syndrome and wasting pig syndrome of piglets, Res Vet Sci, 64, 187-90.
- Kyriakis SC, Saoulidis K, Lakkas S, Miliotis ChC, Papoutsis PA, Kennedy S, 2002, The effects of immuno-modulation on the clinical and pathological expression of postweaning multisystemic wasting syndrome, J Comp Pathol 126, 38-46.
- Liu S, Tu C, Wang C, Yu X, Wu J, Guo S et al., 2006, The protective immune response induced by B cell epitope of classical swine fever virus glycoprotein E2, J Virol Methods, 134, 125-9.
- 32. *Mancini G, Carbonara AO, Heremans JF*, 1965, Immunochemical quantitation of antigens by single radial immunodifusion, *Immunochemistry*, 2, 235-54.
- 33. *Markowska-Daniel I, Pejsak Z, Winnicka A, Collins RA*, 1999, Phenotypic analysis of peripheral leukocytes in piglets infected with classical swine fever virus, *Res Vet Sci*, 67, 53-7.
- Moormann RJM, Bouma A, Kramps JA, Terpstra C, De Smit HJ, 2000, Development of a classical swine fever subunit marker vaccine and companion diagnostic test, Vet Microbiol, 73, 209-19.
- 35. Pauly T, König M, Thiel H-J, Saalmüller A, 1998, Infection with classical swine fever virus: effects on phenotype and immune responsiveness of porcine T lymphocytes, J Gen Virol, 79, 31-40.
- Piriou L, Chevallier S, Hutet E, Charley B, Le Potier MF, Albina E, 2003, Humoral and cell-mediated immune responses of d/d histocompatible pigs against classical swine fever (CSF) virus, Vet Res, 34, 389-404.
- Polaček V, Prodanov J, Lazić S, Petrović T, Rašić Z, Aleksić-Kovačević S, 2007, Immunohistochemical detection of B and T lymphocytes in mandibular lymph nodes of experimentally infected piglets with classical swine fever virus, Acta Veterinaria (Beograd), 57, 2-3, 199-208.
- Polančec D, Terzić S, Ballarin-Perharić A, Budak V, Cizelj A, Janić D et al., 1999, Evaluation of efficacy of new classical swine fever vaccine prepared from Chinese strain on minipig kidney cell culture. Program and Abstracts of Scientific Conference Biotechnology and Biomedicine, Zagreb, Croatia, 22-23 February 1999, 65 (in Croatian).
- Porntrakulpipat S, Depner KR, Moennig V, 2001, Are low-density granulocytes the major target cells of classical swine fever virus in the peripheral blood? Vet Med B Infect Dis Vet Public Health, 48, 593-602.

- Rziha HJ, Henkel M, Cottone R, Bauer B, Auge U, Götz F et al., 2000, Generation of recombinant parapoxviruses: non-esential genes suitable for insertion and expression of foreign genes, J Biotechnol, 83, 137-45.
- Sánchez O, Barrera M, Rodríguez MP, Frías MT, Figueroa NE, Naranjo P et al., 2008, Classical swine fever virus E2 glycoprotein antigen produced in adenovirally transduced PK-15 cells confers complete protection in pigs upon viral challenge, *Vaccine*, 26, 988-97.
- 42. Sinkora M, Butler JE, 2009, The ontogeny of the porcine immune system, Dev Comp Immunol, 33, 273-83.
- 43. Suradhat S, Intrakamhaeng M, Damrongwatanapokin S, 2001, The correlation of virus-specific interferon-gamma production and protection against classical swine fever virus infection, Vet Immunol Immunopathol, 83, 177-89.
- 44. Šuša M, König M, Saalmüller A, Reddehase MJ, Thiel HJ, 1992, Pathogenesis of classical swine fever: B-lymphocyte deficiency caused by hog cholera virus, J Virol, 2, 1171-5.
- 45. Terzić S, Lojkić M, Čajavec S, Jemeršić L, 1997, The safety of an experimental hog cholera virus vaccine China strain (C) multiplied in cell culture, Proceedings of Veterinary Days 97, Cavtat, Croatia, 15-18 October 1997, 203-11 (in Croatian).
- 46. Terzić S, Šver L, Valpotić I, Jemeršić L, Lojkić M, Miletić Z et al., 2003a, Proportion and phenotypic expression of peripheral blood leukocytes in pigs vaccinated with an attenuated C strain and a subunit E2 vaccine against classical swine fever, J Vet Med B, 50, 166-71.
- Terzić S, Jemeršić L, Lojkić M, Madić J, Grom J, Toplak I, 2003b, Comparison of antibody values in sera of pigs vaccinated with a subunit or an attenuated vaccine against classical swine fever, Vet Res Comm, 27, 329-39.
- 48. Terzić S, Jemeršić L, Lojkić M, Šver L, Valpotić I, Oršolić N et al., 2004, Leukocyte subsets and specific antibodies in pigs vaccinated with a classical swine fever subunit (E2) vaccine and an attenuated ORF virus strain D1701, Acta Vet Hung, 52, 151-61.
- Terzić S, Oršolić N, Šver L, Jemeršić L, Cvetnić Ž, Valpotić I et al., 2007, In vitro proliferation of lymphocytes derived from pigs vaccinated against classical swine fever, *Period biol*, 109, 165-71.
- 50. *Tizard IR*, 2000, *Veterinary Immunology An Introduction, sixth edition*. W.B. Saunders Company, A Harcourt Health Science Company, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19106.
- 51. Tuchscherer M, Puppe B, Tuchscherer A, Kanitz E, 1998, Effects of social status after mixing on immune, metabolic, and endocrine responses in pigs, *Physiol Behav*, 64, 353-60.
- 52. van Oirschot JT, 2003, Vaccinology of classical swine fever: from lab to field, Vet Microbiol, 96, 367-84.
- 53. Valpotić I, Radeljević D, Čajavec S, Udovičić I, Vrbanac I, 1998, The synergistic in vitro effect of Baypamun– and live attenuated gE<sup>-</sup> Aujeszky's disease vaccine on porcine lymphocyte responsiveness, Proceedings of the 15<sup>th</sup> IPVS Congress, Birmingham, England, 5-9 July 1998, 349.
- 54. Van Der Stede Y, Verfaillie T, Cox E, Verdonck F, Goddeeris BM, 2004, 1alpha,25-dihydroxyvitamin D3 increases IgA serum antibody responses and IgA antibody-secreting cell numbers in the Peyer's patches of pigs after intramuscular immunization, *Clin Exp Immunol*, 135, 380-90.
- 55. Voigt H, Merant C, Wienhold D, Braun A, Hutet E, Le Potier MF et al., 2007, Efficient priming against classical swine fever with a safe glycoprotein E2 expressing Orf virus recombinant (ORFV VrV-E2), Vaccine, 25, 5915-26.
- 56. von Rüden S, Staubach C, Kaden V, Hess RG, Blicke J, Kühne S et al., 2008, Retrospective analysis of the oral immunisation of wild boar populations against classical swine fever virus (CSFV) in region Eifel of Rhineland-Palatinate, Vet Microbiol, 132, 29-38.
- 57. Weber O, Siegling A, Friebe A, Limmer A, Schlapp T, Knolle P et al. 2003, Inactivated parapoxvirus ovis (Orf virus) activity against hepatitis B virus and herpes simplex virus, *J Gen Virol*, 84, 1843-52.

# IMUNOGLOBULINI SVINJA VAKCINISANIH PROTIV KLASIČNE KUGE SUBJEDINIČNOM E2 I ATENUIRANOM VAKCINOM C SOJA

# TERZIĆ SVJETLANA, BILANDŽIĆ NINA, JEMERŠIĆ LORENA, LOGOMERAC VESNA, CVETNIĆ Ž, ŠANDOR KSENIJA, ORŠOLIĆ NADA i VALPOTIĆ I

#### SADRŽAJ

Cilj ovih ispitivanja je bio da se utvrde promene u koncentraciji serumskih imunoglobulina posle vakcinacije protiv klasične kuge svinja atenuisanim C sojem i subjediničnom E2 vakcinom. Osim toga, ispitivan je i adjuvantni efekat atenuisanog parapoks virusa ORF. Uzorci periferne krvi su prikupljani pre vakcinacije, a zatim 4, 10, 21. i 28. dana. Koncentracija ukupnih proteina i albumina je određivana kolorimetrijskim metodom, a koncentracija IgA, IgM i IgG radijalnom imunodifuzijom. Dobijene vrednosti su bile u skladu sa referentnim vrednostima za svinje. Međutim, u našem ogledu je zapažen značajan porast koncentracije pojedinih klasa imunoglobulina. Porast koncentracije IgM kod vakcinisanih svinja je ukazivao na rani razvoj humoralnog imuniteta. Zanimljivo je, da je subjedinična E2 vakcina, ranije prouzrokovala porast koncentracije IgM nego atenuirana vakcina dobijena od soja C. Koncentracija IgG nije bila značajno povećana, verovatno zbog toga što period od 28 dana posle vakcinacije nije bio dovoljan da dođe do ove promene. Bez obzira na činjenicu da su IgA antintela odgovorna za imunitet na sluzokožama, atenuisana vakcina poreklom od soja C dovela je do značajnog povećanja njihove koncentracije u serumu (4. dan po vakcinaciji). Ovo se može objasniti sposobnošću IgA antitela da neutrališu ili aglutinuju virusne čestice. Upotrebom virusa ORF, soja D1701 kao adjuvansa, značajan porast u koncentraciji IgG i IgM je registrovan znatno ranije (4. i 10. dana po vakcinaciji).